

EXHIBIT A

NCKDKITC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

3 KITCHEN WINNERS NY, INC.,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

22 CV 05276

6 ROCK FINTEK, LLC.,

7 Defendant.

Conference

8 -----x

New York, N.Y.

9 December 20, 2023

3:00 p.m.

10 Before:

11 HON. PAUL A. ENGELMAYER,

12 District Judge

13
14 APPEARANCES

15 LIPSIUS-BENHAIM LAW, LLP

Attorney for Plaintiff

16 BY: ALEXANDER JARED SPERBER

17 POLLACK SOLOMAN DUFFY, LLP

Attorney for Defendant

18 BY: PHILLIP RAKHUNOV

19 AVRAM E. FRISCH, LLC

Attorney for Third-Party Defendant

20 BY: AVRAM ELIEZER FRISCH

NCKDKITC

1 that, for example, JNS may adopt an argument of Kitchen
2 Winners, you only need to respond to it once and so forth. But
3 there's no reason for you to have multiple briefs.

4 But what would be useful, and I'm glad you mentioned
5 it, is just to make sure that there's very clear telegraphing
6 to me, given that I'm going to be receiving three summary
7 judgment briefs, what points in your opposition are responsive
8 to what points in what brief, so that my law clerk and I can
9 tick and tie the opposition to the various briefs.

10 But, look, as to page limits, I tend not to be a
11 stickler about that. You should seek an extension if you need
12 more page limits than are provided for by the rules, but this
13 would certainly appear to be the sort of case in which, within
14 reason, I would be granting it. I'd be very surprised if
15 there's a call for you to have more pages than the aggregate of
16 the three briefs you're responding to, but even that's hard to
17 know in advance, try to -- what is it that Strunk and White
18 say? It takes longer to write short?

19 Take the time to be succinct, but I'm not going to be
20 a stickler about that. I'd rather hear what you have to say.

21 MR. RAKHUNOV: Thank you, your Honor. That's all I
22 wanted to clarify.

23 THE COURT: So just going around the room, nothing
24 further from you, Mr. Sperber?

25 MR. SPERBER: That is correct, your Honor.